

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	CARDNO, Andrew J.	Examiner:	NUNEZ, Jordany
Application No.:	10/728,857	Group Art Unit:	2175
Filing Date:	December 8, 2003	Confirmation No.	1227
Office Action Date:	June 24, 2010	Docket No.	83336.1710
Title:	DATA ANALYSIS SYSTEM AND METHOD	Customer No.	66880

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This amendment is filed in response to the Office action mailed June 24, 2010, and is timely filed.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-5 and 8-12 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardno (WO 0225494; hereinafter “Cardno”) in view of Soper et al. (WO 0079438, hereinafter “Soper”).

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended in this paper. Support for the amendments may be found, at a minimum, on page 8, line 30 – page 9, line 14. No claims have been canceled. No new claims have been added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims, and contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the cited references are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the cited references.